

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 11:33:38 PM

1. Department or Agency

National Archives and Records Administration

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory
Committee

3b. GSA Committee No.

1740

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

10/01/2017

6. Expected Renewal Date

10/01/2019

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During FiscalYear?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next FiscalYear

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Yes

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Presidential

12. Specific Establishment Authority

E.O. 12829

13. Effective Date

01/06/1993

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

National Policy Issue Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this
FiscalYear

17a. Open 1 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1 Meetings and Dates

Purpose

To discuss National Industrial Security Program policy issues.

Start

11/01/2017

End

- 11/01/2017

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$18,904.00	\$18,904.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$287,979.00	\$287,979.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00

18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$1,300.00	\$1,300.00
18d. Total	\$308,183.00	\$308,183.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.96	1.96

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Committee achieves its purpose by advising the Chairman on all matters concerning the policies of the National Industrial Security Program (NISP), including recommending changes to those policies, and serving as a forum to discuss policy issues in dispute.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Balanced views are achieved by means of representation of members of the executive branch and industry. At least one industry member is a representative of small business concerns, and at least one is a representative of Department of Energy/Nuclear Regulatory Commission contractors.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee meets at least two times a year as mandated by Executive Order 12829.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The people advising have the expertise in national industrial security matters and provide a strategic orientation to issues.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

Not applicable

Designated Federal Officer

Greg A. Pannoni DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Arriaga, Dennis	10/01/2017	09/30/2021	Director, Corporate Security	Representative Member
Baughner, Kimberly	10/01/2012	09/30/2021	Industrial Security Division Chief, Bureau of Diplomatic Security	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Bradley, Mark	01/02/2017	09/30/2019	Director, Information Security Oversight Office	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Brady, Denis	03/03/2018	02/03/2022	Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Brooks, Mark	09/25/2018	09/30/2022	Dep Dir for Security	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

Davis, Amy	03/15/2017	03/14/2021	Dep. Chief, Office of Physical Security and AT/FP	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Gortler, Fred	07/01/2015	06/30/2019	Director, Defense Security Service	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Harney, Robert	10/01/2016	09/30/2020	Director of Security, Mission Systems Sector	Representative Member
Keith, Dennis	10/01/2015	09/30/2019	Industry	Representative Member
Kerben, Valerie	02/22/2017	02/21/2021	Sr. Security Advisor	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Livingston, Mark	01/23/2017	01/22/2021	Dep Dir for Security	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Lowy, David	04/07/2015	04/06/2019	Deputy Director of Security , SAF/AAZ	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Mahoney, Michael	10/01/2016	09/30/2020	Director of Security	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
McGarvey, Daniel	10/01/2017	09/30/2021	Sr. Principle Business Analyst, Alion Science and Technology	Representative Member
McMahon, Heather	10/01/2011	09/30/2019	Director, CI and Operations	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Poulsen, Kirk	10/01/2016	09/30/2020	Senior, Vice President, Chief Security Officer	Representative Member
Strones, Martin	10/01/2014	09/30/2018	Industrial Security	Representative Member
Sutphin, Michelle	10/01/2014	09/30/2018	industrial security	Representative Member
Taylor-Dunn, Zudayyaah	10/11/2016	09/11/2020	Office of Security and Program Protection	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Townsend, Richard	10/01/2016	09/30/2019	Director	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Wilkes, Quinton	10/01/2015	09/30/2019	Industry	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 21

Narrative Description

The Committee achieves its purpose by advising the Chairman on all matters concerning the policies of the NISP, including recommending changes to those policies; and to serving as a forum to discuss policy issues in dispute.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

0

Number of Recommendations Comments

The exact number in this category is not able to be measured, however recommendations to the Chair are normally fielded through the membership to appropriate working Groups for action.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The exact number in this category is not able to be measured, however recommendations to the Chair are normally fielded through the membership to appropriate working Groups for action.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The exact number in this category is not able to be measured, however recommendations to the Chair are normally fielded through the membership to appropriate working Groups for action.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

The committee has provided no recommendations directly to the agency. The committee activities are reported to industry through members and our website.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

Provides guidance on implementation of policies regarding the NISP.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A